1	Each side will have equal time, but the State is
2	entitled to divide this time between an opening
3	argument and a rebuttal argument after the defendant
4	has spoken. Ms. Laskoff.
5	MS. LASKOFF: Thank you.
6	May it please the Court. Counsel. Members of the
7	jury, you sat through testimony for three days on this
8	case. At the outset of the case I told you that it
9	would be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that this man
10	on the night of October 25th, 2006 brutally stabbed a
11	gentleman to death. He brutally slashed, diced, cut
12	two other gentlemen, and in getting away from the
13	scene, then he points a gun at a man, shoots it at him
14	and continues to flee the scene.
15	On the night of October 25th, 2006 the defendant
16	attacked William Troy. On the night of October 25th he
17	attacked Andre Blanco. It is clear from the evidence
18	that you have heard from his girlfriend Deanna
19	Washington, from the defendant, from the three guys
20	that lived, Andre Blanco, Anthony Riollano and
21	Fransisco Blanco, as well as the two other gentlemen
22	that worked at the club, Phillip Westfall and Justin
23	Idle it all started inside the club.
24	You heard Deanna yesterday saying how William Troy
25	was inside the club disrespecting her. She thought he

was being a smart aleck. She didn't like him sticking his nose in her conversation and it offended her. She also indicated to you she told her boyfriend she didn't like it. It made her angry and she thought he should to something about it.

Nothing happened right then. A couple minutes passes and it is quite clear then what happens. The defendant goes to his car. Deanna Washington gets in the passenger side. The defendant gets in his side as well. Then you have the dead victim, William Troy, his two friends that are stabbed, Fransisco and Andre and Anthony Riollano.

Again, you heard Deanna tell you she thinks it was Will. It might have been one of the other guys, but they were making fun of him. What do you-all need security to walk you out? You got any girls? They are making fun of them. They are not threatening the defendant's life at this point. They are not threatening the defendant's life at any point. What is threatened is his ego. His manhood.

You gonna let them get away with that? Aren't you gonna do something about that? That ain't right. The victims indicate the words are exchanged. Now, there's some -- it's not quite clear from the folks that come out on the scene whether or not the defendant had

initially driven by and parked his car, but the three
guys are quite clear the defendant and his girlfriend
are leaving. They are getting out of there. But when
they heard the words, the defendant parks. He parks
six spots away from the deceased victim and his
friends. Defendant gets out of his car. He walks
around and Andre Blanco is walking over. Defendant
says he didn't think it was no big deal. He doesn't
pay no mind to Deanna anyway. The fact that she was
talking, if you remember he said that yesterday. So he
gets out.
Suddenly there's a fist fight. Just for no
reason. Mr. Blanco just punches him in the face for
absolutely no reason. I ask that you use your common
sense. That's not what happened. The evidence is
contrary to what that claim is. In fact, what happened
is the minute this man got out of the car, he had his

18 knife in his hand. He came out. First contact he

makes is with Andre Blanco. He is slashed. He is cut.

20 He's down. He's spinning to the ground.

So gee, his friends see that this guy has just knocked him down to such a point he's over there. So Fransisco comes over, what's going on boom? Slash. Cuts him. Cuts his mouth. Will comes over. Oh, my God, the two friends are on the ground. This man is

attacking them, swinging violently. People are falling left and right.

Will comes over, gets stabbed in the heart, in the chest, gets stabbed again in the chest, gets stabbed in the abdomen, gets sliced in the arm. He falls to the ground. Anthony Riollano is able to come up behind the defendant. And if you remember, the defendant told you he couldn't stab him because he was behind him and he had him in the head. Yeah, he was punching him. He just sliced up two of his friends, and another, third one, is laying there unconscious who eventually dies.

The next thing that happens is he gets in the car with his girlfriend. As he's pulling out of the driveway, Ms. Washington corroborates this,
Mr. Westfall indicates this, Mr. Holiday indicates this, he's pulling out. Deanna's window is open.

Somebody is trying to get their license plate. People are screaming, we have just been stabbed. Someone else is screaming, he stabbed someone. Hanzel Holiday is trying to be a good samaritan and tries to stop this man. He is seeing people laying bloody all over the ground and this guy fleeing from the scene. So he tries to stop him.

The evidence has shown you he was not successful in stopping him at this point. They are just pulling

out the parking lot. They continue going down OBT for a short period and the defendant, again, he ain't gonna put up with this. Pulls up his 9 millimeter, points it directly at Mr. Holiday. His window is down. He pulls the trigger, shoots at him.

Upon seeing the gun, Mr. Holiday has the wit about him to slam on his brakes and pull off, pull away from the defendant's vehicle to avoid being shot and killed. Deanna tells you, the defendant pulled his gun out and pointed it at Mr. Holiday.

Now, you can believe that the defendant just coincidentally happened to be changing the magazine and pulling the bullets out of the gun for safety purposes when this gentleman drove up next to his car, but I submit to you that's not what happened. What happened is he pulled up the gun, he aimed it at Mr. Holiday and he shot that gun. It is clear from all of the testimony, from the evidence, the defense witnesses, he's guilty of second degree murder with a cold, depraved heart, reckless disregard for any life. He stabbed to death William Troy.

It is clear from the evidence that the defendant is also guilty of aggravated battery on both Andre Blanco and Fransisco Gotay. Both of them were cut so severely they needed staples. They needed stitches.

Simpo PDF Merge and Split Unregistered Version - http://www.simpopdf.com

1	They have remaining scars. Mr. Blanco can't even use,
2	or not Mr. Blanco, Mr. Gotay, his mouth. He still
3	can't use it properly.
4	And Counts IV and V are clear as well, aggravated
5	assault with a firearm against Mr. Holiday. The
6	defendant with a firearm placed the victim,
7	Mr. Holiday, in a well-founded fear that he was in
8	imminent danger or imminent danger of death. Clearly
9	someone's pointing a gun at you, shoots it, that's
10	clear.
11	And then Count V, shooting from a vehicle at
12	another, in the vicinity of another person within a
13	thousand feet. Mr. Holiday was in a vehicle right next
14	to him. The defendant shot the gun at him. And again,
15	fortunately he did not make contact with that
16	gentleman.
17	Now, you are going to have an opportunity to
18	listen to defense counsel and they are going to argue
19	their position to you. After that, I am, again, going
20	to address you and explain to you further why, in fact,
21	the defendant should be found guilty of every single
22	count as he is charged.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Chien?
24	MS. CHIEN: Yes, Your Honor.
25	Members of the jury, late at night four men came

1	proof is proof beyond every reasonable doubt. And if
2	there's a conflict in the evidence, that is reasonable
3	doubt. And there are conflicts in the evidence and
4	they are handing it to you with five different versions
5	where testimony is not supported by evidence.
6	The State has not met its burden in this case and
7	we are asking you to follow the law and find Mr. Dobbs
8	not guilty on all charges.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Laskoff?
10	MS. LASKOFF: Thank you, Your Honor. Let's talk
11	about the State's burden of beyond a reasonable doubt.
12	Okay? Here we go. I am gonna bring your attention to
13	the part that says what a reasonable doubt is not.
14	It's not a mere doubt. It's not a possible doubt.
15	It's not a speculative doubt. It's not an imaginary or
16	a forced doubt. And such a doubt mustn't influence you
17	to return a verdict of not guilty if you have an
18	abiding conviction of guilt.
19	Therefore, possibly he didn't do it, no, I still
20	met my burden. You speculating he didn't do it, I have
21	still met my burden. You are imagining he didn't do
22	it? I still met my burden. And if you are forcing
23	some sort of situation that could have possibly
24	happened, I still met my burden.

Okay? And in regard to magic tricks and focusing

your attention from one thing to another, that's kind of what the defense was doing, because they are trying to draw your attention away from what the big picture is. And let me show you what the pig picture is, and there's no conflict in the evidence in this regard.

None.

This man stabbed this man to death. He died because he stabbed him with a knife. There's no conflict in that evidence. Secondly, Andre Blanco the first man to be cut up by the defendant, he got cut with a knife by the defendant. He got cut on the right side of his face where he needed stitches and staples. He got cut on the left side of his face where he needed stitches and staples. Staples in there. He got cut on his chest. He needed staples. And again, on his arm.

How did he get cut? There's no conflict in the evidence. This guy right here, he cut him with a knife. Unfortunately, I don't have any pictures to show you of Fransisco Gotay, because if you remember the very pregnant crime scene tech that testified, she said he was getting medical treatment at the time, but you heard Fransisco Gotay say it too. There is no conflict in the evidence that this man is the one that cut him, sliced him up. But I guess we should excuse

it because he didn't use his gun. Fortunately they didn't get shot, right? That's not what we are here about.

There's also no conflict in the evidence about the amount of time that William Troy was stabbed. Defense was talking about oh, okay, the stabs were straight on. He must have been defending himself. Well, it is the State's position he was holding the knife like this. If you look at Mr. Troy, he stabbed him four and a half inches deep on one side of his chest. Look at this blade. He stabbed him three and a half inches deep with this blade on the other side of his chest. He pierced his heart, his lung, his diaphragm. And then there's yet another one in his abdomen, another three and a half inches. If that's not a deprayed heart, if that's not ill will, if that's not spite, I don't know what is.

Let's talk about these blood spatters. You have the diaphragm that the crime scene tech collected.

Okay? And on it she indicates to you that some of the spots are pieces of evidence that were collected and the other ones are indication of blood. This is the victim's car. And the defendant's car is somewhere over here. If you look carefully, there's blood everywhere, not a simple little trail just to the

1	defendant's car as defense counsel has indicated to
2	you. Okay?
3	You were also told that obviously the three guys
4	that were friends with the guy who died were lying.
5	They weren't lying. And who cares if they were drunk?
6	Who cares if they were drinking? Who cares if they
7	were convicted of felonies? Does that give him a right
8	to stab them, to come out of the car and attack them
9	with a knife because he's pissed off? It doesn't.
10	It's not justifiable. It's not necessary.
11	The only thing he was finding it necessary to
12	protect was his ego. It is the State's position that
13	it wasn't the five witnesses that miraculously got
14	together and they lied and came up with this fabulous
15	story. There's some conflicts in testimony.
16	Somebody's purse gets snatched out in the hallway and
17	12 people see it, you are going to get 12 versions of
18	what happened. But the ultimate truth is somebody's
19	purse got snatched, and by whom. Don't focus on their
20	magic tricks and pay attention to what's not important
21	What is important is he killed him. He wasn't
22	justified. It wasn't self-defense. He got out of that
23	car. He was mad. He was fired up and he attacked
24	them. Boom, off the bat.

It started in the club, it built up. He was mad.

 24

And if you remember Justin Idle, he also testified that he heard the defendant when the group of guys was talking to him and yelling over at him. He yelled back, the defendant. I don't need no one to get my back. He's gonna take care of himself. He did. And I guess you shouldn't believe either that he killed this guy and it wasn't self-defense because when he was surrounded by the cops, he cooperated.

Well, he fled the scene. He had a cell phone.

Deanna told you that. Neither one of them called 911.

They didn't seek refuge from anyone. They didn't go to any safe area because he knew he murdered someone and he knew he was wrong. And to say that simply because there should not be any conflict in the evidence, you know what, if these guys, these victims are such good liars, don't you think that they'd get their stories perfectly straight and there wouldn't be any conflict about things that really didn't matter?

You remember in jury selection I told you, this is real life. This isn't C.S.I. This isn't Law And Order. It's not a neat little package. They haven't rehearsed. They haven't gotten together and they haven't gotten their stories straight, but they know what happened and what counted. They know this guy got out of his car and attacked them with a knife, one

1	after another, after another. It wasn't a group
2	ambush. None of the witnesses, none of the five
3	witnesses said that. When the three gentlemen that
4	were involved or the two gentlemen that worked at the
5	club indicated that and actually, if you recall,
6	Justin Idle, the gentleman that worked at the club, he
7	told him three separate times before the fight started,
8	leave the parking lot. Leave the parking lot. Leave
9	the parking lot. Three times. And he didn't do it.
10	He could have left. He should have left. If only
11	he would have left, William Troy wouldn't be dead and
12	the two other gentlemen wouldn't be stabbed, brutally.

12 the two other gentlemen wouldn't be stabled, brutally.

13 Yeah, they are mouthing off. But that doesn't justify

14 killing someone. Nor does that justify stabbing

15 someone.

You don't bring a knife to a fist fight. Okay?

And if he was really so scared for his life, wouldn't

you not display it visibly so everybody saw it? Back

off! I got a knife. You come near me I am gonna cut

you! None of the victims saw a knife. None of the

independent witnesses saw a knife until the end. And I

can't remember if it was Mr. Westfall or Mr. Idle, but

one of them said he saw something shiny in the

defendant's hand, and it was obvious it was a sharp

object by the way we saw the victims injuries.

Now, I wanted to talk about the GSR and whether or not he stuck the gun out and shot it at Mr. Holiday.

Okay? You remember what Mr. Radcliffe, the FDLE expert said about the gunshot residue. He said his findings meant he either didn't shoot the gun, and that's why they are negative, or he could have still shot the gun and it was negative. Because when he shot the gun out the window, the powder didn't have time to land on his hand. Or, because six and a half hours transpired between the incident and the actual shooting. Or, this is a 9-millimeter, okay, it is a semiautomatic and if you remember, he was indicating that the revolvers dispel more ammunition.

Yeah, he had no GSR on his hand. Doesn't really mean he did. Doesn't really mean he didn't. And I guess we are supposed to believe that Mr. Holiday is lying the other victims are too. And I want you to take the fact that all the State witnesses are obviously lying from a man who took the stand and he says a gun basically magically plopped into his lap. Come on. That's absurd. He's lying.

I also want to bring to your attention what the woman said talking about the DNA, the blood evidence and the knife. Okay? The majority of the blood was from William Troy. Well, obviously because it was

1	shoved into his body four times, deeply. Okay? She
2	also indicated that Andre Blanco, Fransisco Gotay and
3	the defendant could not be excluded as contributors in
4	this. Okay? And why do you care? You care because it
5	is the State's position this cut on the defendant's arm
6	was caused by his own knife as he's wildly stabbing it
7	and swinging it and cutting everyone else. Okay?
8	Look at the pictures. Defendant is right handed.
9	He tells you that. The cuts are on his left arm.
10	Common sense tells us he cut himself.
11	Now, we talked about self-defense, and that's what
12	this is. He's done these things. Was he defending
13	himself? No. Under the legal standards, he was not.
14	Okay? It was not a justifiable homicide. Okay? It's
15	not justified when you instigate a fight. You get out
16	of your own car and because you are mad, you are
17	yielding a knife and you attack someone. Okay? It's
18	not. It simply is not.
19	They are outside of the car. He's not resisting
20	an attempt to murder or commit a felony on him. Come
21	on. The only time they start to engage in a brawl is
22	after he starts to stab and cut all of them. It is
23	absurd. Okay?
24	Now, the appearance of danger must have been so

real that a reasonably cautious, prudent person under

the same circumstances would have believed that the danger could be avoided only through the use of that force, and based upon appearances, he has to believe the danger was real. You are telling me some guys are walking over to his car, he thinks he's gonna die so he has to jump out of his car and attack them with a knife? No. That's not what we are talking about here. That's not the kind of society we live in. That's not what that's meaning.

Yeah, he has a right. He doesn't have to run or retreat. And he has the right to stand his ground and meet force with force, including deadly force. Okay? There is one guy when he gets out of his car. Okay? He's in his car when they walk over. He could have left multiple times. He didn't.

He gets out of the car and attacks the first guy with a knife. It's not excusable what he did. Okay? It wasn't an accident. It wasn't misfortune. He wasn't doing a lawful act. He intentionally got out of the car with the knife and attacked them one by one by one. And again, it's not an accident and it's not a misfortune. Okay? It was an intentional act upon the defendant.

Now, in regard to weighing the evidence, did the witness seem to have an opportunity to see and know the

things about which he testified. Okay. Did they have an accurate memory? And this is where you need to weigh your credibility of all of the separate witnesses. Okay? And I want to point out to you that all of these apply to the defendant's testimony. Okay? As well, did they have an accurate memory.

Let's talk about Deanna Washington, the defendant's own witness. I asked her about the gun. Did you see a gun? No. Did you see him hide the gun? No. Oh, but do you remember making a statement to the police right after it happened? Yes. Okay. Can you look at it? Okay. Well, let me ask you this again, did you see a gun, did he have a gun? Oh, yes, I did, and yes, I saw him hide it. Okay.

Come on. She's trying to protect the defendant.

And when confronted directly with something and she can't do it, she's called out on it. Okay? But then the defendant doesn't want you to believe certain parts of her testimony either, about, you know, she wasn't egging me on. She wasn't mad. It wasn't bothering her what these guys were saying. Okay. And that goes sort of to the answering the attorneys questions straight.

And does the testimony agree with the testimony and the evidence in the case? Okay. And it is the State's position that all of our evidence clearly does. It

1 agrees where it matters.

Did the witness at some other time make a statement inconsistent with the testimony he or she gave in court? Ms. Washington did. Okay? And was it proved the defendant has been convicted of a crime? Yeah. So State witnesses were convicted felons. The defendant is a convicted felon too. Okay? There is no possible, speculative, imaginary or forced way this guy didn't get out of his car and commit murder and aggravated battery and shoot at Mr. Holiday. There simply is not.

Now, let me talk to you about this. It is obvious the defendant knew he did something wrong. He jumped in his car and he left. He wasn't trying to escape the danger. The danger had stopped because he stabbed them and there was no attack. There were other people in the parking lot. He was getting out of there to escape and flee the scene.

He hid the gun in the back of his car. And you heard him testify that he tried to wipe his prints off, and he didn't want the gun connected to him because there might be a body on it. I think that's what he said. He didn't want that gun connected to him. Okay?

Now, he was scared for the girlfriend. She was jumping on the guys attacking him. She said that.

Okay? And that would be very noble if, in fact,	that
was what he was doing, but it's not. He had alre	eady
started attacking the men. And when one would co	ome
over to protect his other friend and see why he	was
falling on the floor from the defendant's attack	, she
gets out of the car and she tells you she jumps	on
their back and she starts to engage in the fight	
Yes, you heard Anthony Riollano come in and	tell

Yes, you heard Anthony Riollano come in and tell you he pulled her off of one of the guy's backs. He was actually concerned. Okay? You also heard Mr. Idle say, the independent witness, say nobody attacked the girl. It is the State's position that that's a magic trick. You don't need to think about that. That's the wrong way to focus your attention because that's not what happened.

She became involved. They took her out of the fight and did physically remove her by pulling her off of one of the guy's backs that she was punching. Okay?

Now, you heard the defendant, the defendant testify -- and I am almost finished. I just want to bring out some testimony that he stated that kind of draws a full picture of everything. He tells you, yeah, I always carry a knife. I have a pocket knife. It is a protective thing. He said -- and he's actually blaming the fact that William Troy died on the fact .974.

25

1	that Anthony Riollano got involved in the fight because
2	of the way that everybody was moved. If you remember
3	he goes, it was Anthony Riollano's fault that William
4	Troy died. Think of the absurdity of that. Okay?
5	He also tells you he doesn't pay no attention to
6	Deanna. He doesn't listen to her. She wasn't egging
7	him on. That's completely contradictory to what Deanna
8	says. Okay? And I just think this whole veracity is
9	shown when he says the gun just magically appeared in
10	his lap. That's just absurd. Okay?
11	Guns don't just magically materialize. He did
12	these things. It wasn't self-defense. Okay? He could
13	have left. He should have left and he didn't. He got
14	out of the car with a knife in his hand and immediately
15	attacked the guys. Okay? And then the next one would
16	come not in a gentleman fight. No, in a manner to
17	see what in the world is going on with my friend. Why
18	are they falling down and being attacked. Okay.
19	Now, it's not self-defense. It simply is not.
20	And I implore you to look at the evidence and you are
21	going to have a ton of jury instructions to read
22	through. Okay? He was ticked off from the outset.
23	William Troy disrespected his girl in the club. Okay?
24	More verbal exchange happened outside the club. He's

had it. He defends his ego. He defends his honor.

1	He's not defending his life. He needs to be found
2	guilty of every single count because he is guilty of
3	committing every single offense. They were not
4	justified. He was not defending himself and they are
5	not excusable. Nor were they necessary to any manner
6	whatsoever. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I suspect it's
8	going to take me half an hour to 45 minutes to read the
9	jury instructions to you. I suppose you probably want
10	a break at this point. Am I right?
11	A JUROR: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: Okay. 15 minutes sufficient? I will
13	give you a 15 minute break. Do not discuss the case
14	amongst yourselves. Do not let anyone discuss it with
15	you. When you come back, I will read the jury
16	instructions to you and then you will retire to begin
17	your deliberations. We have already faxed your lunch
18	order off to the deli and it should be arriving, I
19	hope, at 11:45. That's the time I asked them to have
20	it here. With that being said, leave your pads and
21	pens on the chairs. They'll be there when you return,
22	and I will see you back in 15 minutes.
23	(Jury exits the courtroom.)
24	THE COURT: Counsel, it is my intention to give
25	the jury these copies of the jury instructions. I will